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#### Abstract

C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}, M_{r}=242 \cdot 32\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$, $a=13.552$ (4), $b=5.852$ (2), $c=17.221$ (2) $\AA, \beta=$ $104.55(1)^{\circ}, \quad V=1321.9(6) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{m}=1 \cdot 20$, $D_{x}=1.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \quad(163 \mathrm{~K}), \quad \lambda($ Мо $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA$, $\mu=0.735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=520, \quad T=163 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R=$ 0.0514 for 1685 reflections. The molecules are observed as hydrogen-bonded dimers with $\mathrm{O}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15)$ (related by $-x, 1-y, 1-z$ ) and $\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15)$ at distances of $2.686(2)$ and $1.72(4) \AA$, respectively, while the $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15)$ angle is $170(3)^{\circ}$. The phenyl and the cyclohexenone moieties are nearly coplanar which is indicative of a delocalized $\pi$-electron system. The near planarity of the molecule results in a close, intramolecular contact between $\mathrm{O}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(8)$ [2.18(2) $\AA$ ] which is partially compensated for by a rather large angle at $\mathrm{C}(6) \quad[\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ $\left.125.0(2)^{\circ}\right]$.


Experimental. The title compound (I) was prepared by addition of salicylaldehyde ( $2.44 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in 5 ml of hexane to freshly distilled isophorone dienamine $(4.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 5 ml of anhydrous hexane. Mildly exothermic reaction resulted in a dark-green solution, which formed a sticky solid after one week in deep freeze. Separation over silica gel ( 150 g ), eluting with a 3:17 ethyl acetate : hexane solution afforded the title
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compound (m.p. $437-438 \mathrm{~K}$ ) in $37 \%$ yield $(1.8 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.0074 \mathrm{~mol})$. Yellow plate, $0.07 \times 0.26 \times 0.60 \mathrm{~mm}$ from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Crystal density by flotation in $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ solution. Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer, graphite monochromator, Syntex LT-1 low-temperature delivery system ( 163 K ). Lattice parameters from least-squares refinement of 45 reflections with $18.8<2 \theta<28.4^{\circ}$. $\omega$-scan technique ( 2676 reflections, no redundant data), $2 \theta$ range $4.0-52.5^{\circ}, 1^{\circ} \omega$ scan at $2-5^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ( $h=0 \rightarrow 16, k=0 \rightarrow 7, l=-21 \rightarrow 20$ ). Space group determined from systematic absences. Four reflections ( $\overline{4} 00$, $301,002,211$ ) were remeasured every 96 reflections to monitor instrument and crystal stability. Maximum decay correction < $1 \%$ (Henslee \& Davis, 1975). Data corrected for Lp effects but not for absorption. Data reduction described in Riley \& Davis (1976). Sixteen reflections ( $25 \overline{8}, 33 \overline{6}, 35 \overline{1}, 54 \overline{1}, 61 \overline{7}, 6,2, \overline{18}, 7,3, \overline{16}$, $7,5, \overline{10}, \quad 81 \overline{3}, \quad 8,4, \overline{16}, \quad 9,2, \overline{12}, \quad 93 \overline{9}, \quad 95 \overline{1}, \quad 10,5, \overline{4}$, $11,5, \overline{2}, 14,3, \overline{2}$ ) were deleted because of a counter malfunction that went undetected during data collection. Reflections having $F_{o}<4 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ considered unobserved ( 975 reflections omitted). Structure solved by MULTAN78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978) and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures (Sheldrick, 1976) with anisotropic thermal parameters for the non- H atoms. H atoms from a $\Delta F$ map and refined with isotropic thermal parameters. 235 parameters refined. $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ minimized, where $w=1 /\left[\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)=\left(0.5 k I^{-1 / 2}\right)\left\{[\sigma(I)]^{2}+(0.04 I)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$. Intensity (I) given by ( $I_{\text {peak }}-I_{\text {background }}$ ) $\times$ (scan rate), 0.04 is a factor to downweight intense reflections and to account for instrument instability, and $k$ is the correction due to Lp effects and decay. $\sigma(I)$ estimated from counting statistics; $\sigma(I)=\left[\left(I_{\text {peak }}+I_{\text {background }}\right)^{1 / 2} \times(\right.$ scan rate $\left.)\right]$. Final $R=0.0514$ for 1685 reflections, $w R=0.0518$ ( $R_{\text {all }}=0.0920, w R_{\text {all }}=0.0578$ ) and goodness of fit $=1.341$. Maximum $|\Delta / \sigma|<0.1$ in the final refine-

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for non -H atoms of 5,5-dimethyl-3-[ $\beta$-( $2^{\prime}$-hydroxystyryl)]-2-cyclohexenone

For anisotropic atoms, the $U$ value is $U_{\text {eq }}$, calculated as $U_{\text {eq }}$ $=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i j}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{A}_{i j}$ where $\mathbf{A}_{i j}$ is the dot product of the $i$ th and $j$ th direct-space unit-cell vectors.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O5 | 0.01621 (15) | 0.3244 (3) | 0.66266 (11) | 0.0384 (7) |
| 015 | 0.11455 (13) | 0.3801 (3) | 0.29748 (10) | 0.0354 (7) |
| C1 | 0.1505 (2) | -0.1838 (5) | 0.7559 (2) | 0.0351 (10) |
| C2 | 0.1329 (2) | -0.1727 (6) | 0.8316 (2) | 0.0402 (11) |
| C3 | 0.0773 (2) | 0.0068 (6) | 0.8505 (2) | 0.0394 (10) |
| C4 | 0.0397 (2) | 0.1730 (5) | 0.7947 (2) | 0.0329 (10) |
| C5 | 0.0558 (2) | $0.1617(5)$ | 0.71796 (14) | 0.0276 (9) |
| C6 | 0.1130 (2) | -0.0183 (4) | 0.69736 (14) | 0.0262 (8) |
| C7 | 0.1403 (2) | -0.0405 (5) | 0.62005 (14) | 0.0273 (8) |
| C8 | 0.1140 (2) | 0.0971 (5) | 0.55606 (14) | 0.0283 (9) |
| C9 | 0.1489 (2) | 0.0763 (4) | 0.48296 (14) | 0.0269 (8) |
| C10 | 0.2212 (2) | -0.1101 (5) | 0.4721 (2) | 0.0281 (9) |
| C11 | 0.2871 (2) | -0.0431 (4) | 0.41511 (14) | 0.0262 (8) |
| C12 | 0.3446 (2) | -0.2511 (5) | $0 \cdot 3959$ (2) | 0.0356 (10) |
| C13 | 0.3637 (2) | 0.1401 (5) | 0.4541 (2) | 0.0325 (10) |
| C14 | 0.2165 (2) | 0.0460 (5) | 0.33654 (15) | 0.0299 (9) |
| C15 | 0.1452 (2) | 0.2321 (5) | 0.34923 (14) | 0.0275 (9) |
| C16 | 0.1144 (2) | 0.2299 (5) | 0.42375 (14) | 0.0279 (9) |

Mann (1968), with anomalous-dispersion corrections from Cromer \& Liberman (1970), while scattering factors for the H atoms were from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965); linear absorption coefficient from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). The least-squares-planes program was supplied by Cordes (1983); other computer programs from reference 11 of Gadol \& Davis (1982).*

Related literature. The synthesis of the title compound is an extension to dienamines of a method (Paquette, 1965) for the synthesis of xanthones by the selftrapping of an enamine-O-hydroxyaldehyde condensation product.
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Fig. 1. View of molecule showing the atom-labelling scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to the $50 \%$ probability level.


Fig. 2. View of the molecular packing down the $b$ axis illustrating the hydrogen bonding between molecules.
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#### Abstract

C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5}, M_{r}=404.55\), monoclinic, $C 2$, $a=12.978$ (7), $b=7.076$ (5), $c=24.598$ (9) $\AA, \beta=$ $97.20(5)^{\circ}, \quad V=2241(2) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1 \cdot 199 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, F(000)=880, \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha})=0.71073^{x} \AA$, $\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=291(1) \mathrm{K}$, final $R=0.048$ for 2915 unique observed [ $F \geq 3.0 \sigma(F)$ ] diffractometer data. The reaction product of $17 \beta$-methoxymethyl-estr-4-en-3-one with methyl acrylate leads to a novel [2.2.2]bicyclooctane framework. The constitution and configuration of this product has been clarified by X-ray analysis. Surprisingly, the newly introduced methoxycarbonylethano bridge was located on the $\beta$-face [syn to the angular methyl $\mathrm{C}(18)$ ]. The chiral center at $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ displays $R$ configuration.


Experimental. Following the conditions of Weber, Spitzner \& Kraus (1981) an excess of methyl acrylate at 205 K was added to a THF solution of $17 \beta$ -


Fig. 1. General view (SHELXTL PLUS graphic) of the molecule, showing the atom-numbering scheme.
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methoxymethylestra-4-en-3-one in the presence of LDA. The product can either be interpreted as the result of a tandem Michael addition or a Diels-Alder reaction. The oily product was purified by using preparative TLC and then crystallized from methanol at 273 K , m.p. $357-$ 358 K . Crystal size $\sim 0.45 \times 0.13 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}, \omega / 2 \theta$ scan, scan speed $1.7-5.0^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in $\theta$, Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo Ka ; lattice parameters from least-squares fit with 25 reflections up to $2 \theta=24.4^{\circ}$; six standard reflections recorded every 2.5 h , only random deviations; 8124 reflections measured, $1.5^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 25.0^{\circ},-15 \leq h \leq 15$, $-8 \leq k \leq 8,-29 \leq l \leq 29$; after averaging ( $R_{\text {int }}=$ 0.026 ): 3950 unique reflections, 2915 with $F \geq$


Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view (SHELXTL PLUS graphic) of the unit cell ( $a$ horizontal, $c$ vertical).
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[^0]:    * Lists of anisotropic thermal parameters, bond distances and angles involving $H$ atoms, torsion angles, least-squares planes, H -atom parameters, and structure-factor amplitudes have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51339 ( 24 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

